APPENDIX B – STATUTORY CONSULTATION REPRESENTATIONS/COMMENTS

REPRESENTATIONS IN SUPPORT OF THE PROPOSALS

<u>Ref</u> <u>No.</u>	Representation Details
1	<u>Croxted Road</u> I am generally very much in favour of the proposals as outlined. I live at XXX Croxted Road which has off street parking. i do have a drop down kerb , and therefore a legal cross over , which is identified on your map . I support the proposals provided access to my property is kept bay free .
2	Croxted Road We are writing in strong support of the proposed parking extension (H CPZ) in Croxted Road. We have been residents for 31 years and parking near our house has become increasingly difficult so that we are often forced to park many minutes walk away. I know the situation is becoming intolerable for many residents in the street as well as for ourselves.
3	<u>Croxted Road</u> I have lived at XXX Croxted Road for nearly 40 years and have seen the traffic along the road increasing considerably over the years. The parking situation has been getting steadily worse too and is now next to impossible and I struggle on a daily basis to find a spot to park reasonably close to my home; as I often need to carry heavy loads into and out of my house this can be very frustrating. For the last few years it has become even worse - I have discovered through research that cars are left here by people not resident in the road - by commuters, by people going on holiday, by people who live in a CPZ zone, visitors to people living in a CPZ zone and now the odd white van is left here with greater frequency. There was a noticeable increase in parking problems after a CPZ was implemented in the area of Croxted Road north of the garden centre. I am fully supportive of installing a CPZ in the area of Croxted Road between 251 and the bridge.
4	Clive RoadI wish to support the proposals to extend parking arrangements in 'H' area in Croxted Road.My reasons are as follows:1. The number 3 bus which I use regularly is having more and more difficulty negotiating the congestioncaused by parking the whole length of Croxted Road and South Croxted Road, leading to lengthier journeys2. Commuters should be encouraged not to bring their cars into the area for the purpose of parking close torail stations. The climate emergency dictates that more people stop using cars and adopt public transportas their preferred means of getting to work from their own home to the workplace, instead of cluttering thestreets by parking cars in the vicinity of rail stations, in this case Herne Hill.My hope is that this scheme will be extended to deter similar parking by those who are using West Dulwich.
5	Croxted Road 1 st representation I entirely support the cpz being extended to Croxted Road. Our Road is used as a car park all week - with commuters arriving early and parking all day. The congestion caused by his has a huge impact on us residents. We can rarely park near our home and regularly have to park roads away. This if difficult with young children and when we have shopping. A cpz would stop people. Doing this and hugely impact on our experience here.
6	<u>2nd representation</u> This email is in support of the email extension. Our road is used as a car park and it's impossible for residents to park anywhere near our homes. A cpz would stop so many drivers parking here - the pollution issue is a significant problem

7	 <u>3rd representation</u> Please receive this email as support for the extension of the cpz to our section of Croxted Road. Our road is used as a car park for many commuters who drive specially to park all day then bus or cycle into town. It has made parking in front of our homes almost impossible apart from at weekends when we see a noticeable difference. We have lived and worked in Lambeth for many years. This is a huge problem for us and our neighbours - young, old, neighbours with shopping or small children. We urge you to ensure that the extension happens as soon as is possible
8	Croxted Road Ref: H CPZ Thank you for arranging the consultation We fully support a CPZ in this road
9	Croxted Road I am writing in regard to the application for permit parking on our stretch of Croxted Road SE21. I am strongly in support of this application as it has become almost impossible at times for residents to find a parking space near our homes. I often have to walk Not only that but it is also dangerous when I have to pick up/drop off my 2 young sons, as I am normally forced to double park which means they are forced to get out/in the car when it is in the road and not at the kerb. Please grant us this application before there is a serious accident.
10	Croxted Road We received a letter regarding the proposed extension of the CPZ 'HH' and I am writing to say that these proposals have my full support I think the proposed CPZ is well thought out and addresses the very real struggle for me to find a parking space near my home. We have three young children and finding a parking space near our house is very difficult at best. We often have to park on Turney road and walk 5-10 mins to our house. As you can imagine this is a struggle with two small children and a baby. We notice that people appear to park on this section of the road (sometimes dropping off their children at the local school or the two nurseries on the road) and then walk to Herne Hill station to commute to work and then collect their car at the end of the day. We've also noticed that some cars and vans are parked on the road and are not moved for months and they don't seem to be owned by people living on the road. There are cases where the road is used for parking work vans over night and during the day the same space is occupied by the same individual's personal vehicle thus they never miss the parking spot. This section of road is bound to the north by an existing CPZ (between the railway bridge and Brockwell Park) and to the south by a road where nearly all the houses have off street parking and therefore dropped kerbs (Turney Road). This results in very few spaces in the vicinity which are available for us residents. The situation is much aggravated by the demand and use of the parking spaces by non-residents as well as residents of the adjacent roads to ours which choose not to acquire a parking permit for their road as they can park for free at our street. I thoroughly support the proposed CPZ is put in effect and the sooner the better! Thank you for taking our complaints seriously and bringing these proposals to fruition.
11	<u>Croxted Road</u> I am writing to express our strong support for the extension of the Zone H extension of the Tulse Hill CPZ. We have been resident on Croxted road on and off since 2001. Over the past few years the parking situation has got worse and worse. If you leave a parking space during the week, it is virtually impossible to find a spot to get back into until the weekend. Local businesses (notably Croxted Motors but also random other vans) park on our section of the street, sometimes for months at a time. Commuters drive and park their cars before going to Herne Hill station. As the nearest section of road to Herne Hill station in any direction that does not have controlled parking we are unfairly disadvantaged and can almost never get a space near our house. I often have to park 5-10 minutes walk away and am forced to double park and block the traffic to unload if I go to the supermarket. A shared use CPZ would be the ideal solution. This works well on Hawarden Grove and lower down Croxted Rd.

12	Croxted Road We live at XXX Croxted Road, SE21 8NN and we fully support the proposed CPZ along our section of Croxted Road. It is becoming increasingly difficult to park along our stretch of road, especially during weekdays as this is one of the last areas left with uncontrolled parking. We, along with lots of other residents, have young children and having to park several streets away is a hassle. We believe that a CPZ along the same lines as Hawarden and Guernsey Grove where there is a 2 hour restriction between noon and 2pm would solve this problem.
13	Croxted Road I would like to add my support in regards to the installing of a new residence parking scheme on Croxted Road SE21.
14	Croxted Road I am writing to express my support for the proposal to extend the CPZ to number 25 Turney Road. In addition to the arguments relating to the constant inconvenience and dangers generated by the current situation I would point to the specific difficulties regularly experienced by residents who have to find temporary parking space for vehicles connected with building and repair work. These old houses are in frequent need of maintenance and refurbishment. I have recently spent hours in the street trying to secure parking space for the vans supplying materials for new windows and doors. The availability of space within the nearby CPZ is strikingly different. I am delighted that the Borough intends to remedy this unfair situation.
15	<u>Croxted Road</u> We are strongly in support of extending parking zone H through the end of Croxted Road to Turney Road traffic lights. As a parent of two extremely young children, having to park a long way from our hone is a real problem, not only for day to day life but also a real concern in event of emergency, for example if we need to get either child to the hospital. Virtually every other road in the area has some kind of parking restriction and it seems logical to extend. We want to encourage you as much as possible to extend the parking zone.
16	Croxted Road I am writing in support of the application for residents parking (CPZ) on our section of Croxted Road. It has always been difficult to find a parking space on our section of the road due to so many people parking there during the day for the purposes of commuting and as parents of two young children it would be great to be within a short walk of the car as we frequently have to park either on Turney Road or Dalkeith Road which is both inconvenient and difficult especially with heavy bags or shopping. We have often had to resort to double parking for short periods of time in order to offload bags/children/shopping which in itself is inconvenient for traffic, as it is also a bus route and a busy road in general. Is it too much to ask that we residents are able to go about our lives safely? I hope you will give our application due consideration and thanks in advance.
17	Not provided This makes perfect sense and the local authority needs to takes a firm lead in introducing parking restrictions throughout the borough. In my view it is well past time that people stopped being so self-centred and acknowledged that private cars cause massive damage to our environment. If parking restrictions discourage the use (and ownership) of cars then let's have lots more of them. The future has to involve a big reduction in private vehicles. Maybe shared car ownership can have a role in this, meanwhile parking restrictions will help. In the areas where I have lived (in Southwark & Lambeth) in the past 35 years or so the introduction of controlled parking has been of significant benefit to those areas, albeit at the (small) cost of a parking permit. A minor benefit being that some may be surprised at how many vehicles regularly parked in their areas actually belong to someone who lives further afield (and where parking restrictions are tighter), these will disappear with parking restricted to local residents' vehicles. Ideally parking restriction should extend throughout the borough as soon as possible.

r	7
	ONLINE PETITION
	26 residents representing 17 properties from the Lambeth side of Croxted Road
	Croxted Road
	This is a group statement petition from the residents of Croxted Road on the Lambeth side of the street in support of CPZ on our part for the street.
	Everyone was keen to email you individually but we wanted to issue a group letter to you from our Residents
	Parking email account. We came together as residents as far back as 2017 to lobby the council and local
	councillors to introduce CPZ in our small part of the street. We are very grateful for the work carried out by Lambeth and Southwark councils thus far to get us to this point of statutory consultation.
	Our plea :
	The lack of availability of parking for residents on Croxted Road (from the overhead train bridge to the traffic
	light crossroads at Turney Road) has reached a critical situation. Our street is predominantly made up of people with young families or retired people. We are particularly concerned at the dangers facing residents,
40	both young and old, which has heightened in recent months as a result of there being no parking outside or
18	near their homes. The parking spaces on Croxted Road are almost entirely populated by non-residents
	during the day - Herne Hill train station commuters, tradesmen, commercial vehicles and visitors to the many businesses on the road.
	As a result, residents are forced to circle neighbouring roads for long periods of time seeking (and often
	paying for) parking which is a lengthy distance from their homes. This is unacceptable for everyone - and particularly the more vulnerable being families with young children and elderly residents.
	As Croxted Road is a busy through way road with buses and ever-increasing speeding vehicles, lives are being endangered crossing Croxted Road as we walk to and from our vehicles.
	The CPZ maps of Southwark and Lambeth suggest that this section of the road is now the only road without either
	(i) off-road parking,
	(ii) CPZ or (iii) private estates and which are within a 0.5/0.6 mile radius of Herne Hill station.
	We ask the council to implement. as a matter of urgency, a CPZ with a 12-2 pm payment window as with
	most other roads in the Herne Hill area.

REPRESENTATIONS OBJECTING TO THE PROPOSALS

KEY: (L) - Lambeth (S) - Southwark

<u>Ref</u> <u>No.</u>	Representation Details
19	Turney Road (S)I write to object to your proposal of controlled parking zones on Croxted Road. This has a serious effect of pushing parking down onto Turney Road - both sides of Croxted. I live on the Dulwich Village side of Turney Road at XXX Turney Road. There is enough problems for parking - and before you offer it, I do not want CPZ there.I pay enough council taxes, and I certainly do not wish to pay anymore taxed to Councils. There is barely
20	Turney Road (S) I just wanted to express my disagreement with this CPZ proposal. The immediate effect will be to force yet more traffic/parking onto Turney Road, which is already congested. If you're going to do this you have to have a wider plan. I would certainly be ready for a CPZ in Turney Road as part of a wider package. Doing piecemeal CPZs merely move the problem to the next road.

21	Dalkeith Road (L) My husband and I are entirely in favour of the CPZ being extended. However, our concern is that limiting the extension to Croxted Road alone would simply place further pressure on our already congested street. We would therefore ask that the proposed extension be extended to include Dalkeith Road, as well as the neighbouring roads bordering Rosendale School. We would object to the extension being on Croxted Road alone as this would simply make the situation worse for us.
22	Dalkeith Road (L) 1 am completely horified by this so-called consultation, (although in my experience, consultation in Lambeth equals decision already made, so 1 am probably wasting my time). I live in Dalkeith Road. You advertise yourselves as 'A Fair Deal for Thurlow Park' but it really seems to be a fair deal for everyone except Dalkeith Road. Let me give details: 1) Parking. You have already made Hawarden Road and Guernsey Grove (roads parallel to us and north of us) into restricted parking because of driveways with dropped kerbs and two schools with no parking in front. This has pushed huge numbers of cars into our road. They park here during the day, their owners go to nearby rail stations to get into London during the period 8 am to 8 pm. There are proposals for reducing parking in Rosendale Road to the west of us. South of us is the South Circular, with no parking, and now you are threatening parking restrictions in Croxted Road, leaving us as a tiny little island on our own, with restricted parking on all four sides and just us as a place where outsiders can park. Of course I object to this proposal very strongly indeed. 2) Paving. The three roads parallel to us and north is us (Guernsey, Hawarden and Turney) have all had new pavements. Only we remain with our pitted and patched pavements which are liable to trip us up. Nothing is ever done about our road and i have many times made representations about this to Lambeth. Eg. 1) I have asked that we become a restricted parking roune. I would gladly pay £200 a year to get a definite parking place. I was given the response 'Some people in your road do not want it. Who are these people? They are the very rich pe
	popularity votes, where numbers count and NOT for a fair organisation of traffic and parking issues around Thurlow Park. I have been a lifelong Labour voter, but if this goes ahead, it will be just one of the many many reasons why I can no longer vote Labour.

23	Croxted Road (L- within proposed CPZ extension) I'm writing in response to a letter 1 received from you about the proposed Controlled Parking Zone H extension in Croxted Road and the associated statutory consultation that is taking place. I have some previous experience of CPZs being introduced. I used to live on a road in Bristol that is in many ways very similar to Croxted Road. A CPZ was introduced on that road while I lived there. I did not find it easier to park after the introduction of the CPZ, but I did suddenly have to pay £48 a year for the privilege. From the information you've sent me, it seems roughly the same scheme is proposed for Croxted Road, except the cost for me would be £164 a year instead of £48. Visitors' vouchers will cost about £5 each, compared to £0 for the first 60 permits and £1 each for the next 60 in Bristol. If the cost is more to do with how much local residents can afford, then there should be a means-tested element to the cost, which there is not. I don't currently find it particularly difficult to park on Croxted Road and based on the aforementioned previous experience I don't anticipate the CPZ extension would make it much easier. I can sort of understand the motivation for linking the CPZ permit charges to vehicle emissions, but it seems burdensome and unfair to me, because: (i) If you don't drive your car much like me, you're not actually emitting much, but you are being made the pay the same extra CPZ costs as someone who drives a lot. If you want to penalise emissions, measure or accurately estimate each car's actual emissions and charge for that directly (e.g. a petrol tax directly related to emissions cludied by multiplying the amount of petrol bought by an emissions factor specific to the car). In Bristol, I had to drive a lot more because public transport was much worse than in London, yet the emissions-related CPZ fee is much more expensive here. It's disproportionate. (ii) Considering the overall costs and capabilities associated with different emissions (including
24	Rosendale Road (L) I would like to object to the croxted road parking plans (consultation HCPZ). I think it is unfair of the council to agree that this section of road can turn into resident's permit parking as the knock on effect will exacerbate the parking problems and pollution issues already a concern on rosendale/turney road around both of the schools; non resident cars currently using croxted road to park in will just move into these roads instead. The whole local area around rosendale school/ turney school and the section of croxted road in question needs to be considered as a whole. The quietways proposal for this area has never been put into action resulting in this whole area being clogged with cars (mainly from commuters outside), pollution levels are high which is of great concern particularly as there are 2 schools with thousands of children studying right next to these polluted roads, the safety of pedestrians and cyclists is not a priority and cars regularly travel at extremely high speeds as there are no speed cameras putting everyone at risk. I also do not agree with the parking permit scheme regarding the way it is currently run. It would make sense if the entire area in question turned into a parking permit area so cars not belonging to residents are not merely shuffled along to the next permit free street.

	Also the high permit parking charges are not fair on residents - why should people have to pay to park their car outside their house? The more wealthy in the area who have large drive ways and can park their cars for free are then exempt from these charges meaning the poorer are the ones that fund the scheme. Also the trade permit passes are extortionate in price - meaning residents have to pay so much more every time they require a tradesman to work on their property - and once again the wealthy with large driveways do not It also means landlords will put off repairing rented properties because the trade parking permit cost is such a big factor which again means the lower class, who have to rent, live in worse conditions. If parking permits are enforced then residents should not have to pay for one car/ household permit or trade permits. The admin fees should be met purely through parking costs from non-residents using the area or from car tax. I do hope you will consider the above points and put a proposal together which addresses the issues across the whole area.
25	<u>Turney Road (not known)</u> We live in Turney Road and strongly object to this extension of the CPZ in Croxted Road. It will mean the knock-on effect in Turney Road will be that cars that can't park in Croxted Road will try to park in Turney Road. All you would be doing is pushing the problem elsewhere! Moreover, Turney Road has little parking space anyway as there are many driveways. We have only just heard of this plan and it is already 18 October - clearly Lambeth and Southwark Council are trying to push this extension through with a minimum of consultation with residents!
26	Croxted Road (L- south of Turney Road) I am writing to strongly object to the Council's proposed extension of the Tulse Hill CPZ. As a resident of Croxted Road since 2005 I have noticed the increased problem regarding resident parking as it is well known that commuters often drive to West Dulwich / Herne Hill before connecting to public transport. However, the previous introduction of CPZs further along Croxted Road has done nothing to deter commuters - all that has been achieved is pushing commuter parking further south down Croxted Road and into side streets. The petition by Croxted Road residents is simply a case of privileged nimbyism. I fail to believe that any residents when moving here did not realise they would be living on a significant A road with road links to the South Circular and close links to other local A roads and public transport routes. There is a consequence to that: ie you live on a popular public thoroughfare with excellent transport connections. I suspect these attributes were an appeal to many residents originally (they certainly were for me). I am therefore asking the Council to reject this proposal. Unlike smaller local residential streets where commuter parking can have a significant impact, Croxted Road is a major public road. The public are entitled to use it and Croxted Road residents should not feel entitled to claim it as residential. The proposed CPZ will simply push the issue into smaller local streets and also affect parking for local businesses and schools. Where will this all end? With nobody from out of SE24 being allowed to park here for a day? Perhaps if the residents of Croxted Road saw fit to use the excellent public transport links on their doorsteps more often then they could safely leave their vehicles at home, safe in the knowledge that some pesky non local has taken the spot outside their front door? I urge you to consider the wider and future implications of this proposal
27	Croxted Road (L- within existing CPZ) We are writing to express our strong objection to the proposed northerly extension of the current Croxted Road Lambeth and Southwark shared CPZ. We imagine that a shared scheme might work well for residents beyond the second (more southerly) railway bridge. However, that is because in that part of the road there are approximately equal numbers of parking bays on each side, with few residents having off road parking. In our area of Croxted Road, there are significantly fewer bays on the Southwark side, with many Southwark houses having off-road parking. Accordingly, the effect would be to substantially change the status quo, in favour of Southwark residents using formerly Lambeth bays. This is at a time when parking is becoming more difficult in general with more residences being built in the area. Please do not go ahead with this proposal.

28	 <u>Croxted Road (S)</u> I am writing to lodge my objection to the proposed extend parking zone in Croxted Road. 1. This is just going to shunt the demand for parking spaces to outside my house, making it harder for me to park and unload when I get back from the supermarket. 2. You are just mollifying one group of residents and the expense of the next. 3. Before long it will no doubt be extended to outside my home, and then you will be wanting money from me and my neighbours for parking permits. 4. You have exacerbated the parking problems by allowing houses to be built in every little corner, increasing the number of resident drivers whilst at the same time removing what used to be private off street parking and garages. I am opposed to this scheme, you need to come up with something better. I would also like to point out that it took local Conservative counsellors to point out this plan which would affect me; both Southwark and Lambeth have fallen short of their duties to inform residents. Apart from that, thank you for your work. On the whole I cannot speak too highly of Southwark Council, especially the libraries and the recycling.
29	Not provided (not known) We vehemently disagree with the proposals to extend CPZ parking on Croxted Road. If there was CPZ it would radically impact on the stretch of road on Croxted between Turney and the South Circular already used by day-parkers who then walk to either West Dulwich or Herne Hill stations. The road is also used for dumped caravans and cars which although reported to the council in June, still remain dumped on Croxted Road opposite Pymers Mead. Do not extend the CPZ parking zone!!!!!
30	 <u>Croxted Road (not known – assumed south of Turney Road)</u> As a resident in the adjacent block to where the extension to this CPZ is being proposed - Croxted road's extent between Turney road and Dalkeith road - I would not support the current proposals as it would be likely to generate an increased demand within this section. Has the council: 1) been able to demostrate the success of implementing this measure elsewhere to benefit the affected areas? 2) been able to show consequential impacts to adjacent areas?

COMMENTS ON THE PROPOSALS

31	Lovelace Road (L) All for it and think you should look to expanding this type of CPZ to the Rosendale Road, Lovelace Road, Thurlow Hill, Birkbeck Hill/Place area, as well. The 12-14 restriction will stop long term parking from non-residents, something that has been plaguing our area for the past two years or so.
32	 <u>Dalkeith Road (L)</u> I am writing as part of the consultation for the proposed CPZ expansion in Croxted Road from the garden centre to Turney Road. I live in Dalkeith Road and may well be affected by the displacement that yet more CPZs in the area is likely to cause. The rest of Croxted Road, Turney Road, Rosendale Road and Lovelace Road could also be affected by this displacement of parked vehicles. I have noticed that often where CPZs are imposed, roads are virtually empty of parked cars during the day. This is particularly true of Dulwich Road near Herne Hill station and Village Way near North Dulwich station. Meanwhile roads outside the CPZ become ever more crowded and difficult to park in. I sympathise with Croxted Road residents if they are genuinely having trouble parking but I think that alternative solutions to another CPZ need to be thought about.

	 For example: Why not allow residents in Croxted Road, from the garden centre to Turney Road, to buy into the CPZ which already exists at the Northern end of Croxted Road and in Guernsey Grove and Hawarden Grove. Leave their part of the road for free parking as it is now, but if they are really having trouble they could park in these other areas (which again are rarely completely full during the day). Free up some of the space which is wasted during the day in roads like Dulwich Road by returning if to free parking and taking off the CPZ, leaving the CPZ for the more densely parked minor roads in the area, where residents genuinely need to leave their cars. CPZs are not the panaceas that residents think they are because, as well as the expense, there is the difficulty of complying when you have workmen or friends staying for a prolonged period, which can also become prohibitively expensive. In my view the CPZ expansion should not go ahead because it will further limit choice and freedom of parking in the area to the overall detriment and quality of life for the residents.
33	Pymers Mead (L) I am writing as a resident of Pymers Mead on Croxted Road. Whilst I support the CPZ Extension I am most concerned about the impact of the CPZ extension for residents on adjoining roads. I would strongly support wider consultation in the form of letters to households in the neighbouring roads Croxted Road, The Whytefield Estate comprising – Pymers Mead, Coney Acre, Cokers Lane, Perifield, and Walkerscroft Mead, Rosendale Road, Lovelace Road and Dalkeith Road. All of these Roads are already impacted by parking displacement.
34	Turney Road Residents Association (S & L)I am writing as the chair of Turney Road Residents Association.I am concerned about this extension of the Croxted Road CPZ to the junction with Turney Road. This is verylikely to increase the pressure in parking in Turney and I am disappointed that you have not included TurneyRoad as part of the scheme which you did with Guernsey Grove etc when you put the original scheme inplaceCan you please tell me why you have not included Turney within the scheme?
35	Dalkeith Road (L)I live at XX Dalkeith Road, SE21 8LS and have just received your letter relating to the proposed extension of the Tulse Hull CPZ to include 251-293 Croxted Road.I moved into the property 4 months ago with my husband and young baby and have been experiencing major parking difficulties during the working week. Weekends and evenings are absolutely fine. However, if we move the car between 8-5pm Mon-Fri then it is almost impossible to find a space in the surrounding streets upon our return. Croxted Road, Dalkeith Road, Turney Road and Rosendale Road, are all congested due to school/nursery/commuter parking.There are also a large number of trade vans parked on the streets. At times, I have been forced to park the car on the other side of the South Circular and carry my baby in my arms back to the house.I have been finding this extremely stressful as well as physically exhausting. My son has been unwell and has required frequent hospital trips in recent months, and the parking situation has really added to our burden. I have already written to Lambeth Parking to let them know that the situation is untenable but have not received a response.
36	Dalkeith Road (L) Restricting parking in Croxted road will make life in surround roads even worse so please extend controlled zone to all neighbouring roads (we live in Dalkeith Road) if you decide to go ahead. Would increasing restrictions by paying for parking all day further dissuade commuters and parents from using their cars that are parked while collecting or delivering children or commuting to central London? Pollution levels are worse when children are arriving at school or being collected, is a car exclusion zone around Rosendale school being considered?

37	Rosendale Road (L) I am a Lambeth resident and I think I will be adversely affected by the proposed extension to the cpz in Croxted Road. As always happens with these proposals, the result will be to displace parking to surrounding streets which include Rosendale Road. Rosendale Road is already blighted by fly parking, including by people living in camper vans and no doubt your solution will be another attempt to extend the cpz to our street.
38	Not provided All along Croxted Road there is not enough space for the Lambeth residents to park. The Southwark residents park there anyway and move between 12 and 2. Quite a few of the Southwark side have driveways so there is less opportunity for Lambeth residents to park on that side plus those with driveways still park on the Lambeth side at times. Will the Southwark side be paying the same fee as the Lambeth residents because you never see parking wardens patrol the Southwark side so one would have to assume they are not paying a fee.
39	Dalkeith Road (L) We are writing to comment on the proposed extension to the Croxted Road Controlled Parking Zone (ref: H CPZ). We are residents of one of the immediately neighbouring streets, Dalkeith Road SE21, and we are very concerned at the effect that this proposal, if acted upon in isolation, will have on parking in our and other nearby streets which are not part of any CPZ. Parking in our street is already extremely difficult and it seems obvious that this proposal will only encourage more drivers who do not live in the area to park here making the situation even worse. We note from reading the associated documents that the proposal stems from people in Croxted Road 'experiencing parking difficulties' and seeking some way of alleviating this. We also read that the 'key objective of a CPZ is to improve residents' quality of life and discourage people from parking in their road unless the driver lives there'. It seems fairly clear that, although carrying out this proposal may improve the quality of life of the residents of Croxted Road, it will have the opposite effect in Dalkeith Road and other local streets.Indeed, we have already experienced this sort of outcome when the CPZ was extended to Guernsey Grove and Hawarden Road some time ago. We feel, therefore, that this piecemeal approach will ultimately fail and simply introduce problems elsewhere. We would urge the Council to look again at the entire local area with a view to extending the CPZ more widely, including Dalkeith Road. We would certainly support such a move. We look forward to seeing the results of the consultation.
40	Croxted Road (L – assumed south of Turney Road) I have received notification of this proposal. The issue is though we are all experiencing parking problems. I live in the next section of Croxted Road XXX. It is impossible to park anywhere near your property most of the time having to find a space in Belair Park as being the only place to leave it short term and then go and re park when a space becomes available. The quality of my life is affected as I cannot use my car when I need to as I will return and not be able to park anywhere near. The issue for our section are:- Staff and parents from the 2 Schools in Turney Rd needing to park. They create a huge volume of traffic as is evident during the holidays. I have also seen a lot of people parking round us getting out of their cars and getting on the no 3 bus. There is even the guy who parks up and gets out his bike and so it goes on. People park here at 7.0am just to get a place. The problem is apart from the schools we have very good transport links and all the stations etc are only a short walk away. So we get a huge amount of what I call airport parking. People coming from outside the area and leaving their cars in order to go elsewhere. It is also a reasonably safe area so cars can get left for ages. There has also been a conversion of a big old house into 5 dwellings plus a house . Again with no parking provided so they will have to park on the street and add to the volume of parking in the area. When will the councils learn that you cannot just do piecemeal CPZ because it just rolls the cars onto the nearest streets who don't have it. This is exactly what has happened now because 2 streets Harwarden and Guernsey have had CPZ . Residents needs should be put first not people who are looking for free parking to go to work shopping or leisure. If thay have CPZ then we need to extend further up Croxted Road to at least the South Circular.

41	 <u>Dalkeith Road (L)</u> I am writing to share my views on the proposed extended CPZ zone on Croxted Road (reference H CPZ). I am a resident of Dalkeith Road. We already find it extremely hard to find a parking space for our one car most days. Extending the CPZ on Croxted Road could displace traffic to our road, making it busier and even harder for us to find a parking space. While this may improve the situation on Croxted Road, it does not take into account the impact it could have for neighbouring streets, such as ours. I would ask that you consider the parking situation around Croxted Road - on Dalkeith Road and Rosendale Road, specifically - before making any decisions. If you extend the CPZ on Croxted Road, I feel you should also consider making Dalkeith Road permit parking for residents and their guests only.
42	Croxted Road (L- within existing CPZ) Before the introduction of our Residents Parking Zone (which has been to our considerable benefit) our Southwark neighbours had their driveways, the spaces in front of them and also parked on our side of the road. This will now happen again removing many of the benefits of the original Lambeth Parking Zone. The outcome of the proposed changes is hence to the serious detriment of Lambeth residents cf Southwark ones. They can park on both sides in reality we cannot. Conclusion ? You are not standing up for your residents. A second issue is the failure of this exercise to remove residents parking on the Southwark side of the road at the bottom of Croxted Road just above the railway bridge. Its' presence means that large vehicles, notably buses cannot pass each other and are thus the cause of numerous traffic delays/congestion. I have always been surprised that parking was allowed there in the first place, they are a hazard.
43	Rosendale Road (L) I write as a Rosendale Road resident regarding the proposed Croxted Road CPZ extension. I hope the extension will go ahead on the same terms as CPZ Tulse Hill 'H' Mon-Fri 12.00-14.00. This must, however, increase vehicle presence in roads lying between the proposed extension and Thurlow Park Road. For this reason I should like Lambeth Council to consider extending parking restrictions (on Tulse Hill 'H' terms) to those roads, especially to both sides of Rosendale Road between Thurlow Park Road and Hawarden Road. Rosendale Road here is something of a commuters' car park, and so an encouragement to car-use rather than to public transport; a parking site too for commercial vehicles and, all too often, a wayside workshop for motor mechanics who seem to lack fixed premises and so work on their clients' cars from the east footpath. Notwithstanding the width of Rosendale Road, and that its east side here is partly playing fields, it would improve the proximate environment in every way to impose Tulse Hill 'H' terms on Rosendale Road between Thurlow Park Road and Hawarden Road. Any such Rosendale Road (and Turney Road) CPZ could also be the opportunity to engineer this stretch of the proposed Cycleway (formerly Quietway7).
44	Turney Road (L) Thank you for the opportunity to have my say in relation to the proposed extension of the CPZ on Croxted Road. I thoroughly agree with the principle of this as I understand there must be a great deal of frustration amongst the residents of said street. I am a resident of Turney Road (number XX) on the Lambeth side, and I live just opposite Rosendale school. My concern relates to the fact that it is already a nightmare trying to find parking near my flat. I leave for work early and frequently see cars parking up and then heading off then to further their journeys to work. We also have school staff parking on the street. Both of these factors mean it is nigh on impossible to find parking anywhere nearby during the week, despite the fact that there is a long stretch of road on the school side that obviously has no houses. There is a crossing just outside my property to help children across, which is of course a necessity, but that also means there is an area with double yellow lines. The Southwark side of Turney Road has larger properties so many of those provide off street parking. While I am understanding that people need to get to work - teachers included - I feel strongly that residents should come first. With the additional CPZ on Croxted Road the problem is highly likely to be compounded. Some of the other streets off Croxted Road have restricted parking and therefore I request that the same restrictions are applied to Turney Road. The public transport in Lambeth is terrific and while it is not always as quick and easy as travelling by car, it is an option for most.

	I hope I am not in the minority of people who actually bother to respond to this survey as it is a hot topic which causes much frustration, frustration which I foresee will only increase. Again, thank you for the opportunity to voice an opinion.
45	Dalkeith Road (L) We have been notified by our local councillors (thank you Anna, Fred and Peter) that there are plans to extend the Tulse Hill Controlled Parking Zone to include Croxted Road up to Turney Road because of the experience of residents on that road with parking difficulties. We live on Dalkeith Road and agree that because of the parking difficulties it makes sense to expand the controlled parking zone; indeed there have been occasions when we have had to park several streets away which can be difficult for my husband XXX when he is experiencing an arthritic flare up. Our concern with the current proposal however is that it is too limited in scope and means that increased pressure will be placed on neighbouring roads such as ours. This will create an unbalanced situation where Croxted Road residents may park on our road but we are unable to park on theirs which will make a tough situation for Dalkeith Road residents even worse. Indeed I struggle to understand why it is being proposed to only include Croxted Road in this extension as it is already more difficult to park on our road then Croxted Road – we know some residents of that street prefer parking on ours because it is quieter and there is less risk from passing traffic. Another benefit of including Dalkeith Road in any extension of the Controlled Parking Zone is that it would encourage households with more than one car to downsize and reduce their carbon footprint. To summarise we are grateful to the council for recognising the parking difficulties and listening to local residents, however we would encourage you to rethink the current proposal as we fear this this will exacerbate rather than ameliorate the difficulties we are experiencing.
46	Croxted Road (S) Parking on Croxted Road is becoming increasingly difficult due to commuters that park all day and use local train services at Herne Hill or West Dulwich stations. It is therefore disappointing that the recently announced CPZ is only being considered for a small stretch of Croxted Road (Petrol Garage to Turney Road junction). This will have a knock on impact in available parking on the surrounding streets as commuters will just park on Turney or the other side of Turney on Croxted - so in effect the same number of commuters finding parking on a smaller section of Croxted/Turney Road. Has there been any analysis undertaken on the impact other than a petition from a small stretch of Croxted Road? The current proposals will actually reduce the number of parking spaces on that stretch of road - that is the one section of Croxted Road where there are cars parked at all times of the week and weekend (mostly residents). If you travel south of Turney all the way down to West Dulwich station you will see daily commuters parking their cars Monday to Friday. This is where a CPZ is required. I'm fully supportive of a CPZ, however a broader review of local parking needs to be undertaken before implementing on a small section of Croxted Road.
47	Dalkeith Road (L) I write further to your note regarding the proposed change to Croxted Road, introducing a CPZ zone. I fully support the introduction of such a zone and would appreciate if this could be extended to Dalkeith Road. Having lived here for 3 years, the parking congestion through the week (commuters parking for Herne Hill station) is so bad that I am unable to go out in the car during the day, knowing that I will not be able to park back on my road or on the surrounding roads. Whilst I fully support the introduction of the CPZ on Croxted Road, without making the surrounding roads also subject to CPZ's, those who cannot park on Croxted Road will simply do their utmost to park on neighbouring streets, adding to the already significant congestion. I would be grateful if you could let me know whether you are able to consider this.
48	<u>Croxted Road (L – within proposed CPZ Extension)</u> In response to the proposal for shared bays in the 'H' and 'HH' CPZ parking zones: Unless we are misinterpreting the plan for shared bays, it appears that Lambeth permit holders will be at a disadvantage under the new scheme, with no reduction in permit fees mentioned.

49	<u>Turney Road (L)</u> I'm writing in respect of the proposed CPZ extension for Croxted Rd. We live on Turney Rd that intersects Croxted Road. We understand the need for parking control in the area. On Turney Rd (we are at number X, near the junction with Rosendale Rd) we already have a serious parking problem with daily park and ride visitors for Herne Hill and also parking for Rosendale Primary School opposite. We had thought that a CPZ was being introduced in the d development that had been proposed for this area but that has all gone rather quiet. The bottom line is that if a CPZ is introduced on that section of Croxted Road but not in the immediate surrounding area, we will be unlikely to be able to park a car with 300-500 metres of our house on a weekday if we're unlucky enough to have to move it. It's already very common to have to park at the bottom of Dalkeith Rd, 200+ meters away, or right up on Rosendale Rd by the Church up to 300 meters away. A CPZ would make this rather ridiculous. I'm sure we won't be the only people writing about this quite obvious knock-on consequence. Our request is not that the CPZ is stopped but that it is not introduced on Croxted Rd only but extended to surrounding streets including the Southern end of Turney Rd as well as Dalkeith Rd, Rosendale Rd etc. If this is not done the results will be chaos!
50	Dalkeith Road I have been unaware until now that both Lambeth and Southwark council are proposing to extend the CPZ to Turney road, Dulwich. I have been living on Dalkeith Rd for twenty years and where I was able to park outside my home with ease it is impossible now. The impact on this extension is going to push more people to park on Dalkeith and surrounding free parking streets. I have to double park to get my shopping in the house with the concern I am going to get a ticket from the frequent patrolling traffic wardens. Something has to be done! I would propose the CPZ be extended to Dalkeith Rd. At least we would all be able to park with ease and it would stop cars speeding along the road looking for parking spaces. People park their cars here all day and catch the train from West Dulwich station. I have been looking after a disabled friend and picked her up in the car, came back and had to double park, get her out of the car, leave her on the road whilst I tried to find a parking space, ridiculous! Please consider our road for residential parking!!!
51	Dalkeith Road (L) I write with regards to the notice received from Dulwich & West Norwood Conservatives relating to the planned extension of the CPZ up to Turney Road. This is the first notification I have received relating to this project. I am a resident of 30 years in Dalkeith Road and have seen the road become more and more popular with non resident predominantly commuter parking. It is a nightmare. Not only do we have the commuting brigade but we also now have the "uninhabitable caravan club" and "unroadworthy mobile home club" vehicles left for week after week in the road and adjoining roads. These seem to be exempt from being ticketed by eagle eyed traffic wardens but when you briefly double park outside your home to unload heavy shopping as there is nowhere to park you are told to move your vehicle or get a ticket. If you are intent on extending the CPZ zone why not just extend it further to include Dalkeith Rosendale and Croxted road to the South Circular and be done with it - we as residents similar to those in the projected new CPZ extension to Turney Road are in the same boat and fed up to the back teeth with the parking issues. On another note and from a safety point Dalkeith Road with budding Lewis Hamilton's putting their pedal to the metal. As a young family oriented road it is extremely worrying and dangerous particularly as children use the road going to and from school. As for the 20 mph speed limit in Croxted and Rosendale if the council would like to make some serious money then I would suggest a couple of speed cameras - the fines would produce enough income to benefit Lambeth/Southwark communities. A no brainier!